

Slide 0

## Lecture 5.2

### The Hopfield Model For Optimization

Jonathan Shapiro

Department of Computer Science, University of Manchester

February 6, 2003

Slide 1

### Hopfield Model Applied To Optimization Problems

**References:** "Neural computation of decisions in optimization problems", J. Hopfield and D. Tank, *Biological Cybernetics*, Vol 52, pp 141 – 152, 1985. "On the Stability of the Travelling Salesman Problem Algorithm of Hopfield and Tank", G. Wilson and G. Pawley, *Biological Cybernetics*, Vol 58, pp 63 – 70, 1988. The second paper shows that the method proposed by Hopfield and Tank usually produces solutions which violate the constraints. *Introduction to the Theory of Neural Computation*, J. Hertz, A. Krogh, R. Palmer, Addison Wesley, 1991, Chapter 4.

## Content-addressable memory for difficult problems

Hopfield model relaxes to minima of the Liapunov function. Thus, it can be used to solve hard optimization problems.

- Image Restoration: (Geman and Geman, 1984).
  - Make patterns with edges stable states.
  - Starting state a noisy image.
  - Network relaxes to pick out the edges.
- Optimization Problems: (Hopfield and Tank, 1985).
  - e.g. the travelling salesman problem.
  - make near optimal solutions stable states.
  - starting state relaxes into some nearby, good solution.

Slide 2

- Notes:
  - Weights are designed to do a specific task. No learning.
  - Problem solved by network relaxing into good solutions, not search (exactly).
  - Requires casting the problem into finding minima of a Liapunov function *of the same form* as Hopfield's Liapunov function.
  - Can be implemented in hardware using analog VLSI.

Slide 3

**Slide 4**

Hopfield reasoned,

- Minds do more than make associations; they have to solve *hard* problems.
- Humans solve hard problems in a characteristic way.
- Since the Hopfield network progresses to minima of a Liapunov function under the dynamics, the Hopfield model is solving an optimization problem. Other problems can be solved by the dynamics of the model *if* the problem can be converted into the problem of finding minima of a function *of the same form* as the Hopfield Liapunov function.
- First applied to find solutions of the Travelling Salesman Problem.

**Slide 5**

### **Extensions of the Hopfield Model**

**References:** "Neurons with Graded Responses Have Collective Computational Properties like Those of Two-State Neurons", J. Hopfield, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, Vol 84, pp 8429 – 8433, 1984. *Introduction to the Theory of Neural Computation*, J. Hertz, A. Krogh, R. Palmer, Addison Wesley, 1991, Chapter 3.3.

Slide 6

**Problem:** As described above, Hopfield dynamics goes to nearest minimum of the Liapunov function. For solving hard optimization problems, these can be local minima which might have a large value of the function to be minimized.

**Solutions:**

**The continuous model** This can be implemented in analogue hardware, and Hopfield was very dedicated to building special purpose hardware for solving optimization tasks using this approach.

**Simulated annealing:** If the neurons update rule is probabilistic and of a particular form, the problems of local minima can be diminished. This is useful for conventional computer implementations of the model.

Slide 7

### The Continuous Model

To get a model with continuous neurons, two changes are made to the model described above:

1. The neuron takes a continuous range of values, between 0 and 1, say.
2. The output of a neuron is a continuous function of its input.

$$x_i = g(u_i),$$

where  $u_i$  is a quantity which determines the output and  $g$  is some function which varies smoothly from 0 to 1. See figure ??.

**Slide 8**

3. The neuron cannot respond to the input immediately. The quantity  $u_i$  takes some time to ramp up to the total input to the neuron. This is expressed mathematically by letting  $u_i$  obey the following equation

$$\tau \frac{du_i}{dt} = -u_i + \sum_j w_{ij} x_j + I_i.$$

This says that the change in time of this variable  $u_i$  is proportional to the difference between it and the total input. In time,  $u_i$  will be equal to the total input, it will just take some time for it to get there. Here  $\tau$  is the time constant which determines how long it takes for  $u_i$  to go to the value  $\sum_j w_{ij} x_j + I_i$ . How  $u$  changes over time is shown in figure ??.

**Slide 9**

The output function for a continuous neuron

**Slide 10**

The total input to the neuron takes time to reach the weighted sum of its inputs.

**Slide 11**

**Results**

- This model behaves like the binary Hopfield model, it ends in similar final states.
- The network changes smoothly and continuously, unlike the binary model which goes through discrete changes at discrete time intervals.
- There is a Liapunov function for this model, so the dynamics always ends in stable states.

## Hardware Implementations

The model is important because it can be implemented in hardware.

- An early circuit for a neuron and the network as a whole is shown in figure 1.
- The neuron's input-output function is produced by an amplifier which takes an input voltage  $V$  and produces as output  $g(V)$ . The connection weights are resistors, and the time delay is produce with an RC circuit.
- A network of these neurons can be produced in analog VLSI. Networks of up to a few hundred neurons have been produced.
- **Result:** Massively parallel, special purpose, analog computers using collective computation to solve TSP, linear programming problems and other hard problems were built by Hopfield and his collaborators.
- **Problems:** High connectivity (like that in brains) is extremely hard to get

Slide 12

in VLSI.

Slide 13

**Slide 14**

Figure 1: (a) Circuit for implementing an analog neuron. (b) A network of neurons.

**Slide 15**

### **Analog Electronic Vision Systems**

**References:** *An Introduction to Neural Electronic Networks*, S. Zornetzer, editor, Academic Press, 1990. *Analog VLSI and Neural Systems*, C. Mead, Addison Wesley, 1989. "Stochastic Relaxation, Gibbs Distributions, and the Bayesian Restoration of Images", S. Geman and D. Geman, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence Vol 6, pp 721 – 741, 1984.



Slide 16

### Motivation

Special purpose analogue parallel computers have been build for vision tasks.

**Image restoration** — given noisy, blurred, or incomplete information about an image, reproduce the image.

- An ill-posed problem — not enough information to solve.
- Must put in assumptions — smoothness, few edges, etc.

Slide 17

### Simple smoothing model

Here is a very simple example.

**Given:**

1. There may be noise in the input
2. Resolution of eye is finite

**Task:** Reconstruct image intensities such that

1. image is smooth,
2. intensities are near the data (the inputs)
3. edges may also be present (ignore for now).

Slide 18

- Let  $d_i$  be input at retina cell  $i$ . Let  $V_i$  be hypothesised intensity at the corresponding point in the image.
- Use continuous model with linear neurons  $g(u) = u$ .
- Liapunov function treating conditions 1) and 2) above

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \text{ neighbors } i} (V_i - V_j)^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_i (V_i - d_i)^2. \quad (1)$$

Network of linear elements smoothes images. (See figure)

### Dealing with discontinuities(edges)

Natural images contain discontinuities in the form of edges. Different methods have been proposed.

1. Add addition nodes which hypothesize the existence of an edge at location  $i$ . Penalize hypotheses which have many edges,

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \text{ neighbors } i} (1 - S_i) (V_i - V_j)^2 + \frac{K}{2} \sum_i (V_i - d_i)^2 + \mu \sum_i S_i. \quad (2)$$

where  $S_i = 0, 1$  for the absence or presence of an edge.

2. See also Geman and Geman, 1984.
3. Edge detection *emerges* from implementation constraints (Mead).

### How edge detection emerges from implementation constraints

- Implemented in a network of linear resistors.
- Resistors not available in all CMOS processes and expensive.
- Can be emulated with an amplifier with similar transfer characteristics (with a few transistors)

**Resistor:** Current = voltage/R

**Amplifier:** Cannot produce unbounded current; must saturate.

Slide 20

Slide 21

**Slide 22**

- This saturation gives you segmentation for free.

**Slide 23**

- You get appropriate response to edges from the physics of the device. (Edge-detection is emerges from the properties of the implementation devices.)
- This constraint must be true for real eyes as well (argues Mead).
- We learn something about biology by building these types of computers to perform similar computational tasks to those done by the biological system.

## A Silicon Retina

Described in Mead (1989).

Three types of cells:

1. Photoreceptor — takes log of image intensity.
2. Resistive network — does smoothing and capable of sharp edge segmentation.
3. “Bipolar cells” — measures difference between two cells above.

Network consisted of cells required for 5000 pixels images.

Slide 24

## Experimental results

- Edge-detection — bipolar cells do edge detection too.
- Automatic gain and sensitivity control.
- Experimental comparisons with biological retinal cells suggest that this engineered artifact is a good model.
- Shows illusions such as Mach bands.

Slide 25

**Slide 26**

### **Conclusions**

- Computation is done in the eye; the optic nerve does not have sufficient bandwidth to transmit raw, high fidelity images.
- This computation can be carried out by attractor neural networks; dynamical neural networks whose dynamics leads to fixed points.
- Engineering systems can teach us about biology when the biology system and the engineering system share similar constraints.